The Spatial Form of Subjectivity: Time, Feminine Writing, and Post-Impressionism in Virginia Woolf's To the Lighthouse

Abstract

This paper investigates Virginia Woolf's To the Lighthouse as a radical experiment in narrative form and a profound inquiry into the nature of subjectivity. The central aim is to demonstrate how Woolf employs a "spatialized" narrative structure to deconstruct the traditional, unified, patriarchal subject and champion a more fluid, interconnected "feminine" mode of being, a process informed by a Post-Impressionist aesthetic. The methodology is a qualitative textual analysis that uses Susan Stanford Friedman's theory of "spatialization" as a primary lens to read the novel's triptych structure. This framework is integrated with Ann Banfield's analysis of Woolf's impersonal narration and treatment of time, and Makiko Minow-Pinkney's theories of feminine writing and the problematic nature of the subject. The analysis reveals three key findings: (1) The novel's three-part structure functions as a spatial form that disrupts linear chronology, forcing the reader to connect disparate moments in time. (2) The central "Time Passes" section utilizes an impersonal, Post-Impressionist perspective to decenter human consciousness and subordinate it to objective, elemental forces. (3) This formal experimentation culminates in Lily Briscoe's artistic struggle, which resolves not through linear achievement but through a final, spatial balancing of forms—a triumph for a new, feminine mode of artistic vision. The outcome is a reading of the novel where its formal innovations are shown to be inseparable from its feminist and philosophical objectives.

Keywords: Virginia Woolf; To the Lighthouse; modernism; spatial form; feminist criticism.

Introduction

Virginia Woolf's To the Lighthouse (1927) remains a central text of literary modernism, renowned for its lyrical prose and its profound exploration of memory, loss, and the passage of time.1 The novel revolves around the Ramsay family and their guests during two separate visits to their summer home on the Isle of Skye, separated by a decade of war and death.2 The central aim of this paper is to argue that the novel's formal innovations are not merely aesthetic experiments, but are fundamental to its core project: the deconstruction of the stable, patriarchal subject and the championing of a fluid, interconnected, and "feminine" subjectivity.

Scholarship on Woolf is vast, but often siloed. For instance, narrative theorists like Susan Stanford Friedman (1993) have offered powerful methodological tools like "spatialization" for reading modernist texts, urging critics to see them as patterns of interconnected moments rather than linear sequences.[3] Concurrently, critics like Ann Banfield (2003) have explored the novel's unique treatment of time, linking the impersonal narration of its central section to Post-Impressionist aesthetics and philosophical shifts in the understanding of time itself. Meanwhile, feminist theorists such as Makiko Minow-Pinkney (1987) have analyzed Woolf's work as an

example of "feminine writing" (*écriture féminine*), a style that seeks to dismantle the unified, authoritative "I" of patriarchal discourse.[4]

The novelty of this research lies in its deliberate **synthesis** of these three critical perspectives. This paper will use Friedman's theory of spatialization as a methodological key to unlock the relationship between the novel's form and its feminist purpose. By reading the novel as a spatial object, we can see how its Post-Impressionist aesthetics (Banfield) are strategically employed to achieve a deconstruction of the traditional subject (Minow-Pinkney).

To achieve this aim, this research will answer three central questions:

1. How does the novel's triptych structure ("The Window," "Time Passes," "The Lighthouse") function as a "spatial form" that challenges linear, chronological reading?
2. How does the impersonal narration of the central "Time Passes" section work to decenter the human subject and critique its sense of importance?
3. How does the character of Lily Briscoe, and the completion of her painting, represent the novel's ultimate validation of a fluid, feminine artistic vision over a rigid, masculine one?

The following analysis will address each of these questions, demonstrating that in To the Lighthouse, narrative structure is inseparable from philosophical and feminist inquiry.

Question 1 – The Triptych as Spatial Form

How does the novel's triptych structure ("The Window," "Time Passes," "The Lighthouse") function as a "spatial form" that challenges linear, chronological reading? The conventional way to read a novel is to follow its plot forward in time. However, *To the Lighthouse* actively resists this. Its three-part structure forces the reader to adopt what Susan Stanford Friedman (1993) calls a strategy of "spatialization"—to read the book not as a timeline, but as a painting, where different panels are held in a dynamic, simultaneous relationship. The novel's first and third sections, "The Window" and "The Lighthouse," are separated by ten years of profound loss, yet they function as two sides of a single canvas, echoing and answering each other across the void of the central section. For example, in Part I, James Ramsay's childhood desire to go to the lighthouse is fiercely protected by his mother and brutally dismissed by his father. In Part III, the journey finally takes place, but it is a hollow, melancholic reenactment, haunted by Mrs. Ramsay's absence. The meaning of the second journey is incomprehensible without constantly referring back to the first.

This spatial reading is most evident in the journey of the novel's artist figure, Lily Briscoe. In "The Window," she struggles with her painting, unable to solve a central problem of composition: how to connect the masses of the foreground with the background. Her artistic problem mirrors the emotional problem of the novel—how to connect disparate people and moments. She abandons the painting unfinished at the end of Part I. When she returns to it in Part III, ten years later, her first thought is not about the passage of time, but about the spatial

problem: “She had been looking at the steps; they were empty; she had been raising her brush. She looked at the canvas; it was blurred. With a sudden intensity, as if she saw it clear for a second, she drew a line there, in the centre” (Woolf, 2008, p. 145). The ten years of linear time are collapsed into a single, enduring problem of spatial relationship. The novel, therefore, teaches us how to read it. It demands that we hold distant moments in our minds simultaneously, to see the patterns, echoes, and symmetries that exist across time. In my opinion, this structure is Woolf's primary tool for challenging the masculine, linear logic represented by Mr. Ramsay and for introducing a more fluid, relational way of understanding reality.

Question 2 – "Time Passes" and the Decentered Subject

How does the impersonal narration of the central "Time Passes" section work to decenter the human subject and critique its sense of importance? If the first and third sections of the novel explore human consciousness, the short middle section, "Time Passes," almost erases it. This section is, in my view, the novel's philosophical core and its most radical formal experiment. Ann Banfield (2003) argues that Woolf adopts a Post-Impressionist aesthetic here, moving beyond subjective human perception to depict a more objective, elemental reality. The narrative perspective pulls away from the characters and attaches itself to the indifferent forces of nature acting upon the empty summer house. The protagonists are no longer people, but the winds, the darkness, and the sea, which relentlessly assault the house, a fragile symbol of human order. The narration is starkly impersonal, observing the decay with a scientific, almost geological, sense of time.

This decentering of the human is most shocking in the bracketed announcements of human tragedy. The death of the novel’s central character, Mrs. Ramsay, is delivered in a quiet, parenthetical aside: "[Mr. Ramsay, stumbling along a passage one dark morning, stretched his arms out, but Mrs. Ramsay having died rather suddenly the night before, his arms, though stretched out, remained empty]" (Woolf, 2008, p. 128). The subsequent deaths of her daughter Prue in childbirth and her son Andrew in the war are given the same stark, bracketed treatment. In the vast, non-human timescale of "Time Passes," these monumental human tragedies are reduced to mere footnotes. Banfield (2003) links this to the concept of "Cambridge Time," a philosophical shift toward seeing time as an objective dimension independent of human consciousness. In my opinion, Woolf’s technique here is a profound critique of human arrogance. She dissolves the individual ego into the vast, impersonal flow of time and decay, suggesting that the "problem of the subject" is that it mistakenly believes itself to be the center of the universe. This section clears the stage, wiping away the old certainties of the pre-war world and making way for the new, more tentative form of subjectivity that Lily Briscoe must construct in the final section.

Question 3 – Lily Briscoe and the Triumph of Feminine Writing

How does the character of Lily Briscoe, and the completion of her painting, represent the novel’s ultimate validation of a fluid, feminine artistic vision over a rigid, masculine one? The novel sets

up a clear philosophical and aesthetic conflict between its two central male and female figures, Mr. and Mrs. Ramsay. Mr. Ramsay represents the patriarchal, linear, and rational intellect. He thinks of knowledge as a keyboard to be conquered sequentially: "he had traversed the whole alphabet... from A to Z... Z is only reached once by one man in a generation. Still, if he could reach R it would be something" (Woolf, 2008, p. 35). His quest is one of solitary, heroic achievement. Mrs. Ramsay, in contrast, embodies a fluid, relational subjectivity; her genius lies in her ability to connect people, to create moments of unity from the chaos of individual egos.

Makiko Minow-Pinkney (1987), in her study of Woolf and the "problem of the subject," frames this as a conflict between the stable, patriarchal "I" and a more fluid "feminine writing" that seeks to dissolve such boundaries. In my opinion, Lily Briscoe is the heir to Mrs. Ramsay's mode of being, and her struggle as an artist is to find a visual language for it. Throughout the novel, she battles the ghost of male judgment, embodied by Charles Tansley's dismissive comment that "women can't paint, women can't write" (p. 48).[5] Her painting is an explicit rejection of conventional, representational art. Her challenge is to capture a feeling, a relationship, a "luminous halo" of reality, not just a photographic likeness. Her artistic process is non-linear; it is stalled for a decade, then resumed in a rush of memory and emotion. The final completion of her painting coincides with the moment Mr. Ramsay and his children finally reach the lighthouse. While the men complete their linear, physical journey, Lily completes her spatial, aesthetic one. Her final act is not a grand statement, but a quiet, centering gesture: "She looked at the canvas; it was blurred. With a sudden intensity... she drew a line there, in the centre. It was done; it was finished. Yes, she thought... I have had my vision" (p. 209). That final line drawn in the center is the novel's ultimate triumph. It is the validation of an art based not on heroic achievement but on relational balance, a vindication of a feminine subjectivity that finds meaning in connection, not conquest.

Conclusion

This paper has argued that Virginia Woolf's *To the Lighthouse* uses its innovative form to conduct a profound inquiry into the nature of subjectivity. In answering its central research questions, the analysis has shown how the novel's spatialized, triptych structure forces a non-linear mode of reading, compelling the reader to connect moments across time and loss. The central "Time Passes" section was shown to be a radical, Post-Impressionist experiment that decenters the human ego by subordinating it to the vast, impersonal forces of objective time. Finally, the analysis of Lily Briscoe's artistic journey revealed it as the novel's ultimate validation of a fluid, relational, "feminine" subjectivity over the rigid, linear, and patriarchal quest for certainty represented by Mr. Ramsay.

The contribution of this research has been to synthesize narrative, aesthetic, and feminist theory to show how Woolf's formal experimentation is inextricably linked to her philosophical and political goals. The novel's structure is not mere decoration; it is the engine of its meaning. By breaking down traditional narrative time and space, Woolf is able to break down the traditional,

stable subject. *To the Lighthouse* ultimately suggests that meaning and vision are not found at the end of a linear quest, but in the difficult, fragile, and ultimately transcendent act of creating balance and harmony out of the fragmented pieces of memory and experience.

References

Banfield, A. (2003). Time passes: Virginia Woolf, Post-Impressionism, and Cambridge Time. *Poetics Today*, *24*(3), 471–516.

Friedman, S. S. (1993). Spatialization: A strategy for reading narrative. *Narrative*, *1*(1), 12–25.

Minow-Pinkney, M. (1987). *Virginia Woolf and the problem of the subject: Feminine writing in the major novels*. Rutgers University Press.

Woolf, V. (2008). *To the lighthouse*. Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1927)